

Advantages to the Fds U proposal	Disadvantages to the proposal	Questions, concerns, reservations, conditions
<p><b>Conceptually, this is a positive thing</b>, a good option for a relationship with Fds U</p> <p>Much of this proposal is awesome.</p> <p>A ten-year time period would give us money that we don't have now; <b>it would also give us time</b> to figure out whether we want to move to a new building or whether the relationship with Fds U is working well.</p> <p>It would give us freedom from the building, which we need to get out of.</p> <p>It would avoid financial disaster and having to leave the building sooner or later.</p> <p>We would be able to keep the beautiful building without the financial burden.</p> <p>We would have a stronger, better relationship with Fds U.</p> <p>Maintaining this facility is a huge matter, and this opportunity seems like a gift from God.</p> <p>Win/win</p> <p>We are fortunate that someone wants to use the building, not something other churches have had.</p>	<p>It doesn't provide for the long-term existence of the church.</p> <p>The rolling 3-year thing doesn't address the real issue for the long-term.</p> <p>We would have to get rid of a lot of stuff.</p> <p>We would lose control of the building, and that raises difficulties, requiring patience, flexibility, and energy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What about outside groups, such as Shakespeare in the Park? Scouts? Other performances? Family Promise; All Nations (Likely work, as long as we can schedule them, with things changing over time)</li> <li>• How much money does the university have in hand? What are the first things they would plan to do? What if they aren't able to raise funds in support of the proposal?</li> <li>• What is our vision for what we want to do with the building? Do we have a written proposal? (No, but it would be a good idea.)</li> <li>• Would this plan mesh with our vision for ourselves for the future?</li> <li>• Possible way forward – Joint ownership and usage</li> <li>• Would Fds U be open to joint ownership/obligation/usage? Such an idea has been proposed, but for them to put in as much money as they envision, they would want to be the owner. Also, they need the space. They are open to shared usage, not shared ownership.</li> </ul> <p>What benefit would we gain from being partial owners of the building?</p> <p>Are the architect's drawings their goal? No, these are ideas.</p> <p>Would they be open to having us be long-term users of the building?  <b>Would we be forced out at some point?</b></p>

<p>Spending tons of money on the building, as a church, doesn't seem like good stewardship.</p> <p>A close relationship with the university might be good for our image.</p>		<p>Will there come a time when we lose all right to use of the building.</p> <p>Have we talked about selling the building? No</p> <p>Would this involve Quaker Acres and the Vine St. house? No</p> <p>Who would do the scheduling for the meeting room? What about weddings and funerals? Children's meetings, etc. <b>They need to be spelled out as specifically as possible from the beginning, and a way to negotiate changes along the way.</b> Who has priorities in the different spaces, Fellowship Hall, the kitchen? Would we have space for our ministries? Organ practicing</p> <p>What about zoning? They would have to bring it into university zoning.</p> <p>Who would answer the calls?</p> <p>Could we call a pastor into a situation of shared usage? We wouldn't want to call a pastor who wouldn't be interested in growth, vibrancy, and a relationship with Fds U.</p> <p>Are they open to/expecting negotiation? We believe so.</p> <p>Could we have access to University space??</p> <p>How would we publicly characterize what's going on here?</p>
--	--	--